

Proposal Title :	Lismore LEP 2012 - Rezoning	of the Lismore Health Preci	inct	
Proposal Summary :	The proposal seeks to amend the Lismore LEP 2012 to encourage additional residen accommodation and support services throughout the Lismore Health Precinct. This include the introduction of the R3 Medium Density Residential zone into the LEP, rez land within the precinct to either R3 Medium Density Residential or B4 Mixed Use Zo amending the relevant building height and floor space ratio provisions.			
PP Number :	PP_2015_LISMO_006_00 Dop File No : 15/12593			
oposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	25-Aug-2015	LGA covered :	Lismore	
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Lismore City Council	
State Electorate :	LISMORE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Precinct			
ocation Details				
Street :				
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :	
Land Parcel: Va	rious Land Parcels and Road Rea	serves		
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Luke Blandford			
Contact Number :	0266416612			
Contact Email :	luke.blandford@planning.nsw.	gov.au		
RPA Contact Deta	ils			
Contact Name :	Paula Newman			
Contact Number :	0266250525			
Contact Email :	paula.newman@lismore.nsw.g	ov.au		
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details		*	
Contact Name :	Jon Stone			
Contact Number :	0267019688		e 1	
Contact Email :	jon.stone@planning.nsw.gov.a	u		
Land Release Dat	a			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Far North Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strateg	y: Yes	

MDP Number :	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots ;	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
f No, comment :	-	ning and Environment's Code of Pr eetings with lobbyists has been co	
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?	No		
f Yes, comment :	The Northern Region office has not met any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has the Region been advised of any meeting between other officers within the agency and lobbyists concerning this proposal.		
upporting notes			2
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	The subject land is identified as a significant 'Health Precinct' for the North Coast Region. Lismore City Council was provided with a Planning Reform (PRF) Grant (13/20600) to assist with the review and adoption of a regulatory planning framework that encourages and supports the upgrade of the Base Hospital through the intensification of housing in the surrounding area and promoting additional ancillary uses such as medical practices and health service facilities.		
	provisions is identified	lanning Proposal which seeks to ar as Milestone 7 of the Project Work inct specific DCP and Section 94 c P amendment.	Plan. Council is also pursuing
	Investigation Area'. The	does not apply to all land identified a land subject to this proposal is ide ed to the LEP tracking system.	
equacy Assessmer	it	5 M. 17 18 19 19 19	a star ing dan si su
tatement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	ojectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	which is to encourag	ectives adequately describes the in ge additional uses that provide heal ential density within the Precinct.	ntention of the Planning Proposal, Ith facilities or services to residents
	a reference that 'Flo	will be required to this statement is or Space Ratio' provisions will be a	

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The Planning Proposal provides an explanation of the intended provisions to achieve the objectives and intended outcomes. Amendments to the 'explanation of provisions' will be required as discussed below.

INTRODUCTION OF R3 LAND USE ZONE INTO LISMORE LEP 2012 The proposal seeks to introduce the R3 Medium Density Zone into the LEP. The proposal does not seek to amend or remove any of the mandatory zone objectives or land uses as prescribed in the Standard Instrument Template.

Council officers have verbally advised that Council is not intending to apply the R3 zone to any other land within the LGA outside of the Health Precinct. It is in this regard that the Planning Proposal currently seeks to include three zone objectives, in addition to the mandatory zone objectives, that are site specific to the Health Precinct. These additional zone objectives seek to encourage a specific development product (residential flat development) that is consistent with the current planned 'vision' for the area.

Whilst the intent for seeking to include these zones is recognised, the introduction of site specific zone objectives limits the ability to apply this zone anywhere else in the LGA in the future. The additional objectives, as they are currently written, also limit alternative development opportunities that may not be consistent with the current intended 'residential flat development product' but do achieve the principles for medium density residential development. The objectives are also considered to be inconsistent with the intent of the R3 zone, as described in the Departments' Practice Note PN 11-002, which is to provide for a variety of residential uses to encourage housing choice and diversity.

These matters have been discussed with Council's technical officers who have indicated support to these additional 'site specific' zone objectives being removed, if they are not supported by the Gateway.

Considering the matters listed above, a condition should be included in the Gateway Determination that requires the removal of these objectives from the Planning Proposal prior to community consultation.

The Planning Proposal also seeks to include land uses within the R3 land use table that are in addition to the mandatory land uses under the Standard Instrument Template. These additional uses are listed to be either 'permitted with consent' or 'prohibited'. It is considered that these additional uses are consistent with the zone objectives and the intent of the zone as described in the Department's Practice Note PN 11-002. They are consistent with the intended development product for the Health Precinct and would be suitable for any future identified medium density area throughout the LGA.

REZONING OF RESIDENTIAL LAND TO R3 MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL The proposal seeks to apply the R3 zone to all existing residential land within the Precinct that is subject to this Planning Proposal. This will require an amendment to Land Zoning Map Sheet the LZN_005AB.

The written 'explanation of provisions' in the Planning Proposal needs to be updated to indicate that land currently zoned R1 General Residential and R2 Low Density Residential will be rezoned to R3 Medium Density (It currently only states that R1 zoned land will be rezoned). This is consistent with the mapping provided in the Planning Proposal and the published land use zones under the LEP.

A condition should be included in the Gateway that requires the Planning Proposal be updated, prior to community consultation, to indicate that R2 zoned land will be rezoned to R3.

REZONING OF B3 COMMERCIAL ZONED LAND TO B4 MIXED USE ZONE The proposal seeks to rezone the existing B3 Commercial Core Zone to B4 Mixed Use Zone. This will require an amendment to the Land Zoning Map Sheet LZN_005AB.

This rezoning will permit residential accommodation within the existing business area, promoting new development product, increased densities and housing choice and a mixture of land uses. The documentation submitted with the proposal indicates that DCP provisions will be prepared that provide limitations to residential uses on the ground floor within the business zone. This will ensure that business land is not developed for non-business uses. In addition to permitting residential accommodation, the rezoning to B4 will also permit 'correctional centres' and 'exhibition homes' within the area. The suitability for establishing either of these land uses within the Precinct would need to be considered through an assessment of a Development Application.

The application of the B4 zone will introduce new prohibited land uses to this existing business area, including 'amusement centres', 'charter and tourism boating facilities', 'mortuaries', 'rural workers' dwellings', 'service stations' and 'wholesale supplies'. This will not cause issues with existing uses within the Precinct as it does not appear that any of these uses are currently operating within the Precinct.

AMENDING THE BUILDING HEIGHT MAP

The proposal seeks to increase the maximum building heights within the precinct. This will require an amendment to the Height of Buildings Map Sheet HOB_005AB. All land in the precinct that is bound by Uralba Street, Hunter Street, Orion Street and Brewster Street will have a maximum building of 16m ('O') applied to it. All other land subject to the Planning Proposal will have a maximum building height of 13m ('N') applied.

The Lismore LEP 2012 Height of Buildings Map already contains 'N' in the legend (14.5m). In accordance with the Department's 'standards technical requirements for LEP maps', the letter 'N' is to be applied to building heights within the range of 13m - 14.9m. In this regard the legend on Map Sheet HOB_005AB will need to display 'N1' for 13m and 'N2' for 14.5m. This matter does not need to be addressed prior to exhibition.

AMENDING THE FLOOR SPACE RATIO PROVISIONS

The land currently zoned B3 under the LEP has an FSR provision of 1.8:1 applied to it. It is Council's intent to have this FSR requirement removed. This is not detailed under the current Planning Proposal, however Council has formally written to the Department confirming this was an oversight and that it is intended to remove this FSR requirement. This amendment will ensure that increased densities, particularly for upper level residential development, can be achieved in the area. Floor space will be managed by Council's DCP, which is consistent with all other residential land in the LGA.

A condition should be included in the Gateway Determination that requires the proposed removal of FSR provisions be included in the Planning Proposal prior to community consultation.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	An assessment of the applicable directions and SEPPs is provided within the 'Assessment' section of this planning team report.
Have inconsistencies w	vith items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	See the 'Assessment' section of this planning team report.
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided?	/es
Comment :	The Planning Proposal has included mapping that identifies the subject land and proposed LEP map amendments.
	A map which identifies the current and proposed FSR provisions within the precinct, similar to the mapping in the current Planning Proposal, should be prepared prior to exhibition.
8	Revised maps which comply with the Department's 'Standard Technical Requirements for LEP Maps' would need to be prepared for the making of the LEP.
Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consult	tation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	The Planning Proposal has indicated a 28 day public exhibition/community consultation period. This is considered appropriate given the significance of the proposal to the future of the Health Precinct and wider Lismore area.
Additional Director	General's requirements
Are there any additiona	al Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	
Overall adequacy o	f the proposal
Does the proposal mee	et the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	Minor amendments are required to the Planning Proposal prior to it being publicly exhibited. This is to include:
	 Updating the 'objectives and intended outcomes' and 'explanation of provision' to include a proposed change to the 'Floor Space Ratio' provisions; Removing the additional 'site specific' zone objectives from the proposed R3 zone; Updating the 'explanation of provisions' to indicate that land zoned R2 will be rezoned to R3; and
	 Preparing a map which identifies the current and proposed FSR provisions for the precinct.
	Council officers have indicated willingness to undertake these amendments prior to community consultation. The requirement for these amendments should be made a condition of the Gateway Determination.
	The Planning Proposal generally satisfies the adequacy criteria by:
20	 Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes (noting the changes required prior to exhibition); Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the Planning

5. Outlining a proposed community consultation program including a 28 day public

exhibition period; and

6. Providing a project timeframe which suggests completion within 4 months.

Timeline

- The Planning Proposal includes a project timeline which estimates the completion of the Planning Proposal by December 2015 (4 months). Due to the significance of the proposal and potential delays around the Christmas period, it is considered that a 9 month time frame would be appropriate. This does not restrict Council from finalising the LEP amendment sooner.

Delegation.

- Council has advised via email that it does seek Plan Making Functions for this LEP Amendment. It is considered that these functions should be delegated to Council given that the proposal is consistent with the Regional and Local Growth Strategies (see below) and will result in a relaxation of development standards that will promote increased development opportunity.

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : February 2013

Comments in	The Lismore LEP 2012 is a Principal LEP and came into effect on 22 February 2013. The
relation to Principal	Planning Proposal seeks to amend this planning instrument.
LEP :	

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :

The current LEP provisions preclude medium density residential, mixed use development and a range of health and urban services in the Health Precinct. Amending the LEP provisions is therefore required to facilitate the intended development outcomes for this area.

This intensification of density and services within the Precinct is recognised as a key local and regional growth strategy. It will build on the Lismore Base Hospital site, increasing health services, housing supply, mix and affordability in the area.

Minimum subdivision lot size requirements of 400m2 and 800m2 are applied to land within the Precinct. Retaining these minimum lot sizes can restrict opportunities for amending lot boundaries and/or delivering alternative medium density product such as 'small lot housing'. Another argument though is retaining these minimum lot size requirements can encourage lot consolidation, which is a key objective of Council's vision for the precinct. This matter has been discussed with Council officers, who have indicated that there is no intention to modify the lot size requirements under this Planning Proposal.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

FAR NORTH COAST REGIONAL STRATEGY (FNCRS)

The proposal does not result in the zoning of urban (residential or business) land outside of the Town and Village Urban Growth Boundaries. It seeks to intensify development opportunities on 'infill sites', contributing to housing and service provision within the existing urban footprint.

The proposal is consistent with the aims, objectives and intent of the Strategy.

LISMORE GROWTH MANAGEMENT STRATEGY 2015-2035

The Lismore Growth Management Strategy was endorsed by the Department in August 2015. The proposed intensification of residential land uses and mixed use development within the Health Precinct is a key desired outcome under the Strategy.

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES

The proposal does not result in any inconsistency with the relevant SEPPs.

In accordance with SEPP 55 – Remediation of Land, Council has considered that the land subject to rezoning is unlikely to be contaminated given that it has been fully developed for urban/residential purposes (sensitive land use) and that the proposal only seeks to increase densities on this already developed land. Future development proposals would need to determine if any potentially contaminating activities (under slab contamination, asbestos, etc.) have occurred on individual sites and identify what remediation works, if any, are required to ensure the land is suitable for redevelopment.

All future development on the land will need to demonstrate consistency with the relevant SEPPs including (but not limited to):

SEPP 55 Remediation of Land – as noted above.

- SEPP 65 Design Quality of Residential Flat Buildings (where applicable) – ensuring residential flat development achieves high levels of design quality, sustainability and liveability

- SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) – ensuring the effective delivery of new affordable rental housing opportunities

SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS

The following s117 Directions are applicable to the Planning Proposal. A number of these Directions have not been directly addressed under the Planning Proposal. As discussed below, the proposal is either consistent or justifiably inconsistent with the relevant Directions.

Direction 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones

- The proposal will affect land within an existing business zone. The proposal is consistent with the objectives of the Direction, retains all areas of existing business zones, does not reduce the potential for employment floor space and is consistent with a strategy that has been approved by the Department. The proposal is consistent with this Direction.

Direction 3.1 Residential Zones

- The proposal will affect land within an existing residential zone. The proposal includes provisions that will broaden building types, utilise existing infrastructure and services, utilise existing 'infill' land and be of good urban design (DCP provisions). The Lismore LEP 2012 contains a provision requiring that land must be adequately serviced (Clause 7.1). The proposal is consistent with this Direction.

Direction 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates

- Whilst the Health Precinct does not contain land being used as a caravan park, the proposal does not remove provisions which permit a caravan park being established on the land. The proposal is consistent with this Direction.

	Direction 3.3 Home Oce	cupations hits home occupations to be carried o	ut within dwelling houses
		onsent. The proposal is consistent wit	
	 The proposal seek densities and mixed us 	g Land Use and Transport s to amend land use provisions that w ses in an existing urban area. The site erviced by public transport services i with this Direction.	is located in a central
	Direction 4.3 Flood Pro		
	- The proposal seek	s to increase development potential o	
	Council has adopted L consistent with the Flo prone areas. The inforr flood planning provisio	esal is inconsistent with this Direction EP and DCP provisions and a Flood M odplain Development Manual) for main mation submitted with the Planning P ons for this Precinct will also be inclu- hings, limiting residential development	<i>l</i> ianagement Plan (which is naging development in flood roposal indicates that specific ded in Council's DCP that
	high and medium risk f	flood areas. This will ensure a level of	
	• .	l indicates that the proposal is consis I. The Secretary can therefore determi s Direction is justified.	
	Direction 5.1 Implement	ntation of Regional Strategies	
		onsistent with the regional strategy as	discussed above. The
Environmental social economic impacts :	The potential for environmental impact associated with the redevelopment and use of land will be reviewed as part of any future DA. It is considered that any potential impacts would be minor given that it would relate to infill development.		
	Flooding constraints can be suitably managed through development design and compliance with Council's LEP, DCP and Flood Management Plan provisions.		
	service increased deve to existing services ca detailed review of serv	I has indicated that there is adequate elopment product within the Precinct. n be undertaken to increase capacitie ice provision including water, sewer, Ild be required with future Developme	It is recognised that upgrades as due to increased loads. A drainage, road, telecoms and
	precinct. This will cont the Lismore Base Hos will improve housing s	itate increased development opportur tribute to the provision of health and s pital. Increased residential densities a supply, choice and affordability and w posal will have a positive social and d	service provision, building on and mixed use opportunities vill utilise existing
ssessment Proce	SS		
Proposal type	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
		Delevering	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA

Document File Name 2015-08-17 Planning Proposal Health Precine Cover Letter.pdf Addendum to PP.docx	DocumentType Name ct.pdf Proposal Proposal Covering Letter Proposal	Is Public Yes Yes Yes
If Yes, reasons : 		
Is the provision and funding of state infrastruct	ure relevant to this plan? No	
No internal consultation required		
Identify any internal consultations, if required :		
f Other, provide reasons :		
Identify any additional studies, if required. :		
If Yes, reasons :		
lf no, provide reasons : Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No		
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?	Yes	
Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?	No	

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones
	 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
Additional Information :	It is RECOMMENDED that the General Manager, as delegate of the Minister for Planning, determine under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Lismore Local Environmental Plan 2012 to undertake the rezoning of the Lismore Health Precinct should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. The planning proposal is to be amended, prior to community consultation, to:
	 Update the 'objectives and intended outcomes' and 'explanation of provisions' to indicate that a change to the 'Floor Space Ratio' provisions is proposed. Remove the additional 'site specific' zone objectives from the proposed R3 zone; Update the 'explanation of provisions' to indicate that land zoned R2 will be rezoned to R3 Prepare a map which identifies the current and proposed FSR provisions for the
	precinct 2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:

	exhibition of Planning Proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with Planning Proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of 'A guide
	to preparing local environmental plans (Planning and Infrastructure, 2013)'.
	3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant S117 Directions:
	(a) Northern NSW Local Health District (b) Housing NSW
	4. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
	6. Council be authorised to use the Minister's plan making functions under sections 59(2),(3)&(4) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
	7. Section 117 Directions - It is recommended that the Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the Planning Proposal's inconsistency with s117 Directions 4.3 Flood Prone Land is justified given that the proposal is consistent with Council's Floodplain Risk Management Plan which is in accordance with the Floodplain Development Manual 2005
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal is consistent with the Strategic Planning Framework. The recommended conditions to the Gateway are required to provide adequate consultation and accountability.
Signature:	A
Printed Name:	JIM CLARK Date: 31 August 2015 Team Leader Local Phanning